

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP  
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)  
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)  
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90004  
Telephone: (213) 205-6560  
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
BERNARD TARUC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

BERNARD TARUC,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

HEXEUM GROUP LLC; POMONA INN,  
LLC; and DOES 1 to 10,  
Defendants.

**Case No.:**

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL  
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED  
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES  
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL  
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED  
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY  
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff BERNARD TARUC ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants HEXEUM GROUP LLC; POMONA INN, LLC; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers from paraplegia and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

1           2.     Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,  
2 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for an inn (“Business”)  
3 located at or about 929 W. Holt Ave., Pomona, California.

4           3.     The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or  
5 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,  
6 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of  
7 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been  
8 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such  
9 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to  
10 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

11           4.     Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant  
12 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other  
13 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing  
14 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other  
15 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

16           5.     Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or  
17 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be  
18 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly  
19 and severally.

### 20                                   **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

21           6.     The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and  
22 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*  
23 *seq.*).

24           7.     Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,  
25 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,  
26 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,  
27 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

28           8.     Plaintiff’s claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

1           9.     Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real  
2 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,  
3 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

4                                   **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

5           10.    In or about April of 2025, Plaintiff went to the Business.

6           11.    The Business is an inn business establishment, open to the public, and is a  
7 place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation. Defendants  
8 provide parking spaces for customers.

9           12.    While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally  
10 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the  
11 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

12          13.    To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business  
13 included, but were not limited to, the following:

14               a.     Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
15 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
16 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the  
17 persons with disabilities as Defendants failed to provide an access  
18 aisle with the minimum required width measurements.

19               b.     Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for  
20 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants  
21 failed to provide an access aisle with level surface slope, as there was  
22 a permanent ramp installed within the boundaries of the van  
23 accessible aisle.

24          14.    These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the  
25 Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and  
26 patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because  
27 his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are  
28 removed.

1           15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that  
 2 there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.  
 3 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-  
 4 Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2008).

5           16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants  
 6 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal  
 7 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

8           17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion  
 9 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to  
 10 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business  
 11 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24  
 12 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the  
 13 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

#### 14 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 15 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

16           18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 17 paragraphs in this complaint.

18           19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual  
 19 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of  
 20 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of  
 21 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place  
 22 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

23           20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

- 24           a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or  
 25 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such  
 26 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations  
 27 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that  
 28 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of

1 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or  
2 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

3 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no  
4 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or  
5 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the  
6 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can  
7 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the  
8 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or  
9 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42  
10 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).

11 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers  
12 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation  
13 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an  
14 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that  
15 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail  
16 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where  
17 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

18 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum  
19 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily  
20 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including  
21 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum  
22 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the  
23 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered  
24 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with  
25 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the  
26 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area  
27 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and  
28 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

21. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC § 11B-502.3.3.

22. Here, Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the minimum width of 96 inches.

23. Under the 1991 Standards, parking spaces and access aisles must be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.2. Accessible parking spaces shall be at least 96 in (2440 mm) wide. Parking access aisles shall be part of an accessible route to the building or facility entrance and shall comply with 4.3. Two accessible parking spaces may share a common access aisle. Parked vehicle overhangs shall not reduce the clear width of an accessible route. Parking spaces and access aisles shall be level with surface slopes not exceeding 1:50 (2%) in all directions. 1991 Standards § 4.6.3.

24. Here, the access aisle is not level with the parking spaces, as there was a permanent ramp installed within the boundaries of the van accessible aisle. Under the 2010 Standards, access aisles shall be at the same level as the parking spaces they serve. Changes in level are not permitted. 2010 Standards § 502.4. "Access aisles are required to be nearly level in all directions to provide a surface for transfer to and from vehicles." 2010 Standards § 502.4 Advisory. Id. No more than a 1:48 slope is permitted.

25. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).





1           32. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
2 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by  
3 physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.  
4 Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§  
5 51 and 52.

6           33. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience  
7 difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory  
8 damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

9                                   **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

10                           **VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT**

11           34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
12 paragraphs in this complaint.

13           35. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be  
14 entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to  
15 accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics,  
16 and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles,  
17 railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes  
18 of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise  
19 provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places,  
20 places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the  
21 general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by  
22 law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

23           36. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or  
24 corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities  
25 as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an  
26 individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for  
27 the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting  
28 without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no



1 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be  
 2 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights  
 3 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

4 37. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an  
 5 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also  
 6 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit  
 7 the access of any person in violation of that act.

8 38. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial  
 9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled  
 10 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have  
 11 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

12 39. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to  
 13 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for  
 14 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

#### 15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### 16 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

17 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior  
 18 paragraphs in this complaint.

19 41. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of  
 20 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each  
 21 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §  
 22 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the  
 23 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

24 42. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure  
 25 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds  
 26 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of  
 27 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also  
 28 require that "when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or

employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for persons with disabilities.

43. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

### **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **NEGLIGENCE**

44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

45. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities to the Plaintiff.

46. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

1           3.     Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition  
2 practices;

3           4.     Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this  
4 action;

5           5.     Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6           6.     Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

7                               **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

8           Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby  
9 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

10  
11 Dated: July 18, 2025

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

12  
13  
14 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim  
15 Jason J. Kim, Esq.  
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28